

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PlanningPolicy](#)  
**Subject:** WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection  
**Date:** 27 February 2023 10:40:14

---

This is an **EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK** before you **CLICK** links or **OPEN** attachments.

---



27th  
February  
2023

Dear Sirs

### **WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection**

I object to the proposed development plans for North East Thatcham.

The plan is flawed in so many ways, but a few of the most egregious features are:

**General Infrastructure.** The plan for increased housing has been prioritised over the need to provide a supporting infrastructure for such an increase of population. The planning ethos appears to be, that if the houses are built, then the infrastructure will follow. Past evidence suggests this is never the case.

**Roads.** The effect on roads in the surrounding villages and AONB due to numbers of vehicle movements will be an environmental catastrophe. The roads through the villages are already strained and dangerous for pedestrian and cycle traffic at peak times. The increased traffic, which will be inevitable, will be the cause of harm to pedestrians and cyclists. This danger to life includes primary aged children on their journey to and from school.

**Green Spaces.** The token “green spaces” are an insult to communities that have chosen to make their lives in a rural environment. They appear to be no more than car parks. Also, the designation criteria do not appear comply with guidelines as set out in the National Planning Policy Framework.

Richard Hubbard