

BPC Local Plan Review Comments

Policy SP1 Spatial Strategy

Page 17

Combining The Eastern Area (Urban) and the East Kennet Valley (Rural) areas together into The Eastern Area, signifies a lack of vision or willingness to develop policies target for each type of area. Rather it indicates an underlying desire to the Eastern Area a target for further develop without due process being applied.

Policy SP4 - Atomic Weapons Establishment (AWE) Aldermaston and Atomic Weapons Establishment (AWE) Burghfield

Page 26

There is a conflict between the “Development within the Land Use Planning Consultation Zones: Office for Nuclear Regulation“ DEPZ and Policy SP14 - Sites allocated for residential development in Eastern Area (Page 58) where SP14 has and additional 60 dwellings allocated (RSA19/HSA16) with zero likelihood of those 60 dwellings being granted planning permission. Should they not be removed from the pakn as undeliverable(?)

Policy SP19 - Affordable Housing

Page 69

Missing a definition of Affordable Housing.

Policy SP22 Transport

Page 76

Should there be a cross reference, linking to Policy DC35 Transport Infrastructure (Page 216) and how one affects the other?

Policy RSA 19 Land to the rear of The Hollies Nursing Home, Reading Road and Land opposite 44 Lamden Way, Burghfield Common (Site Ref HSA 16)

Page 112

This site allocation conflicts with SP4 as there is little likely hood that it will receive planning permission due to the DEPZ location? Should it not be removed as undeliverable?

Policy DC 35 Transport infrastructure

Page 216

As previously noted, should there be a cross reference with Policy SP22 Transport.

Is there any thought on WBDC developing a digital/online information of car/motorcycle parking areas and associated charges etc to help people plan travel and parking in the district?

What about development of cycle highways to interconnect population centers working with national and local organisations to achieve this?

Policy DC 38 Promotion of FTTP (fibre to the premises)

Page 223

While the supporting text makes mention of mobile networks, the council should explicitly include support for mobile network in the policy statement and expand further WBDC supports multiple technology options going forward, not just FTTP!

There is no mention with in the LPR about micro-generation, the use of solar panels on houses as well as micro wind turbines, where appropriate to install them. As well as other evolving micro-generation technologies that would put the District reducing it's carbon footprint.