

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PlanningPolicy](#)
Subject: Re: WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection
Date: 02 March 2023 22:56:45

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.

> Hello

>

> I am writing to object to the planning application for 1500 - 2500 in NE Thatcham.

>

> My objections are based on the facts that components of this Plan that are completely unsound.

>

> 1) Traffic will be greatly increased through the villages and completely unable to be handled by the exit roads. Access and junctions are completely inadequate on already dangerous and busy roads.

>

> 2) Education provision for all years is not clearly defined in the LPR and therefore there is no coherent end to end plan to achieve education provision for this large new housing development and the Plan is untenable.

>

> 3) The document gives no detail or insight into strategic healthcare planning for the. new development. WBC and the developers have not arranged a relevant HIA or provided evidence of having appropriately liaised with local health care agencies or providers. There is no evidence of a coherent plan to provide medical and dental facilities for the proposed 1500- 2500 new houses.

>

> 4) With regard to the environment there is no evidence to support claims that SP17 will have a positive impact on the environment and every reason to believe it will have a significantly negative impact. The policy looks to build as many houses as possible in small areas of countryside whilst no serious attempt has been made to investigate and address the consequences.

>

>

> I therefore strongly object to the proposed development on the grounds that is unsound.

>

> Kevin Billings

> [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

>

> [REDACTED]

> Sent from my iPad