

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PlanningPolicy](#)  
**Subject:** WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection  
**Date:** 23 February 2023 09:48:28

---

This is an **EXTERNAL EMAIL**. **STOP. THINK** before you **CLICK** links or **OPEN** attachments.

---

Martin Baylis

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
23rd February 2023

Dear Sirs,

I am objecting to the plan as I find it unsound.  
The following reasons apply:

### **Healthcare Provision**

Proposals for a major development that is likely to have a significant impact in relation to its size and location, should be accompanied by a fit for purpose **Health Impact Assessment (HIA)** in accordance with the current guidance from Public Health England. It is of concern that neither **West Berkshire Council nor the developers**, as public and private stakeholders respectively, **appear to have arranged or published a prospective HIA specific to the proposed North-East Thatcham development**.

### **Schools Provision**

The provision for education from Nursery / Early Years , through Infant and Primary to Secondary education **is not clearly defined** within the Local Plan Review (LPR). There is no coherent end-to-end plan: this therefore breaches the Council's obligations to provide education facilities for children. Without this provision, the Plan for a large housing development is untenable.

West Berkshire Council, as an education authority, has a duty to make arrangements for suitable school provision. How this obligation will be met across all school years is not defined or evidenced in the LPR.

Your sincerely

Martin Baylis

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]