

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [PlanningPolicy](#)  
**Subject:** WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection  
**Date:** 26 February 2023 18:34:26

---

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.

From  
Susan Puri



Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to express my objection to this development because of the following reasons

Potential 2500+ more cars on local roads causing extra pollution, congestion on A4 and A340, rat runs through the local villages of Upper Bucklebury, Chapel Row, Bradfield Southend not to mention the congestion already at Thatcham station train barriers.

The negative impact on local GP practices where it is already difficult to get appointments. Adding thousands of new patients will have a negative impact on the local population.

The negative impact on the overstretched education system particularly secondary schools with schools already oversubscribed .

The lack investigation into the Colthrop site where a bridge could be built moving a lot of houses away from the AONB.

There would be no defined gap between Thatcham and Upper Bucklebury with Upper Bucklebury becoming a part of Thatcham and not a village.

The fragile eco system of the proposed area also needs to be protected and needs to be left as countryside to protect the environment and help with the fight against climate change.

The central government has removed the legal requirements for specific housing numbers to be met by councils, these are now advisory. It would be good to understand why WBC is continuing to force through this huge development? What are the other external factors making WBC think it is sensible to build between 1,500 and 2,500 houses in this area where roads, public transport, GPs and schools are already creaking?

- the removal of the legal requirement makes it a great opportunity to pause and produce a properly put together, modelled, researched plan, of a scale sensible for this area

Yours faithfully  
Susan Puri