

02 MAR 2023

22nd Feb 2023

" WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection "

Dear Sir,
I wish to object to the plan as I find it unsound for the following reasons:

1. Increased traffic -

I have lived on [redacted] for more than 45 years during which time the road outside my house has changed from a narrow country lane to a busy 'rat run'. Traffic from the A4 and Thatcham travels round dangerous bends and passes my gate at well beyond the legal 30 mph limit.

If the proposal to build 1500-2500 houses in NE Thatcham is allowed another 3000 vehicles could be passing along this road each day.

There are no footpaths or street lights on Harts Hill Road and pedestrians are in great danger going to the village school, shop, church, pub & village hall.

2. Strategic gap between settlements -

The proposed building of 1500-2500 houses in NE Thatcham would close what is the official strategic gap between the village of Upper Bucklebury & Thatcham to a few hundred yards. This gap also includes a designated Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty which must be protected.

3. Lack of services -

Education: Schools in Thatcham & Upper Bucklebury will be unable to accommodate a large intake of pupils resulting from such a huge development.

Health

Doctors, dentists, opticians & chemists and all their supporting services are fully stretched with the current population any increase due to the NE Letcham development would be unsustainable.

For the above reasons any development on this scale in NE Letcham should be refused by the Government's Planning Inspectorate.

Yours faithfully,


(MRS NOAMA ARMSTRONG SMYTH)

Tel 