



To whom it may concern,

WBC LPR Regulation 19 Objection

As a resident of Thatcham I am writing to lodge my objection to Thatcham North East Development based on the negative impacts this will cause as the proposals are unsound. Firstly as a resident next to Pipers Way we have already seen increased traffic due to the railway crossing. With the addition of a minimum of 1500 houses and the congestion on the A4 it will cause more traffic along Pipers Way and surrounding roads such as Falmouth Way which could become a rat run to skip any congestion on A4 from Pipers Way and the traffic calming down Falmouth Way will become a dangerous chicane.

The proposed traffic management is insufficiently and irresponsibly considered and will adversely affect road safety by channelling additional volumes through Falmouth Way and occasionally Agricola Way.

Rather than increase opportunities for walking cycling these the proposals will have an adverse affect on current levels based on increased traffic and congestion. The council has failed to demonstrate how these increased opportunities will be created across all residents in the local area.

Secondly I would like to raise objection in relation to the impact this development will have on local environment. Wildlife wandering in the fields across from Colthrop Industrial Estate cross the already busy road- additional traffic will create further loss of wildlife. I also frequently use the footpaths as do others from Thatcham which lead up to Bucklebury the loss of the beautiful countryside on our doorstep will be diminished and will in fact turn Thatcham into an overdeveloped area and could turn into sprawling housing like Didcot.

The local area infrastructure is already at risk from flooding, The additional demands for water and sewerage as well as rain water run off onto the A4 will cause significant detriment to the local area.

The Council's support of this development is expedient and ill considered from lack of sufficient consideration of health care provision in line with current guidance from Public Health England. The current level of resources are already over stretched in terms of GP provision and Dentistry and the council and developers have demonstrated insufficient engagement with the integrated health bodies to reassure a genuine concern for the wellbeing of the additional new residents. The proposals in relation to education and school places are also inconsistent and vague and

the developers suggested solutions to education requirements are platitudes to ensure approval.

Please listen to local residents and reject this development which is over scales, poorly planned and detrimental to those in the surrounding areas.

Yours sincerely

Abigail Hamilton