

Response	Collector	Start Date	End Date	IP Address	Email Add	First Nam	Last Nam	Custom D	To what e
									Policy CA
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	5.67.121.136					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	216.213.140.2					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	216.213.137.41					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	216.213.140.2					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	81.152.250.213					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	213.18.172.89					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	84.71.252.53					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	216.213.144.30					Disagree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	92.27.134.113					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	213.18.180.10					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	13.40.150.93					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	5.64.24.129					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	213.18.188.253					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	216.213.141.4					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	86.18.47.18					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	2.219.1.244					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	92.8.195.194					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	146.90.232.124					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	51.7.252.214					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	51.7.252.214					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	109.148.172.105					Neutral
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	95.147.170.55					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	216.213.137.38					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	151.224.44.39					Disagree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	84.67.22.164					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	86.137.97.234					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	213.18.167.97					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	213.18.164.88					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	5.66.167.184					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	81.158.58.83					Neutral
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	216.213.141.150					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	213.18.177.118					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	148.252.132.248					Disagree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	89.105.17.187					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	86.170.166.231					Strongly di
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	213.18.167.70					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	176.26.202.224					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	213.18.170.199					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	5.66.166.202					Strongly a
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	86.145.202.181					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	86.137.97.130					Neutral
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	94.31.7.178					Strongly di
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	216.213.130.141					Agree
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	195.11.162.98					Strongly di
1.14E+11	4.21E+08	#####	#####	216.213.152.238					

1.14E+11 4.21E+08 #####
1.14E+11 4.21E+08 #####
1.14E+11 4.21E+08 #####
1.14E+11 4.21E+08 #####
1.14E+11 4.21E+08 #####

Strongly di
Agree
Agree
Strongly aξ
Strongly aξ

Disagree Disagree Neutral Disagree Disagree Disagree Disagree Strongly di Disagree Disagree
Strongly aξ Agree Agree Strongly aξ Agree Agree Agree Strongly aξ Neutral Agree
Agree Agree Agree Agree Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Agree Agree Agree
Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ
Strongly aξ Agree Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ Strongly aξ

						Please provide any comments you have
Policy CA	Policy CA	Policy CA	Policy CA	Policy CA	Please ad	Open-Ended Response
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Neutral	Neutral		More should be done to create cycle facilities
Agree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Good docu	Some good ideas, but not regarding develop
Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree		
Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree	Neutral	Neutral	CAP14 - I fr	I strongly disagree with the proposal to re-pl
Agree	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Agree	CAP8 -	iconic views - V4 is incorrectly shown on fig 6.5 r
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree		
Agree	Strongly agree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree		
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	CAP1	1. Irrespective of the number of houses mandate
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree		
Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree	Thank you	All of the actions seem very appropriate
Neutral	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Regarding	I broadly agree with these actions. I (we) wo
Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree		
Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree		
Strongly agree	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Agree	CAP 12 Th	Apart from the "dark skies initiative, most of
Neutral	Neutral	Agree	Agree	Agree		
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree		
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree		
Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree		There is a wide variety of subjects/interests
Neutral	Agree	Neutral	Neutral	Agree	Generally	Some ideas seem sensible like protecting tre
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	CAP14 - da	Good re biodiversity / wildlife. What would
Strongly disagree	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	COLD ASH	We would like to see 'Quiet lanes' mentione
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Policy CAP.	Attempt to address the sheer volume and sp
Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree		
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral		
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree		
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	CAP8. Inc	Any 20mph zones outside schools be operat
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree	CAP 10 Th	18. Agree. Play areas need to be larger with
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree		
Neutral	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree		
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Cap14. I would have expected the NDP to highlight mor	
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Whilst I ap	In particular I support No 12, making the sun
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree		
Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	I feel Cold	.Community is important and should be inclu
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree		
Disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Disagree	Where is the infrastructure to sustain all this developm	
Agree	Neutral	Agree	Neutral	Agree		
Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	CAP2, CAP3.	personally I have no problem with modern
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree		
Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree		
Strongly agree	Agree	Agree	Neutral	Neutral		
Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral	Neutral		
Agree	Neutral	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree			Cold ash and its surrounding areas need to s
Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral		
Disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	CAP1 - Stri	Providing cycle parking next to a rifle club sh
						Is there not a spelling mistake on page 87? Under ref 12

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Agree	Agree	Agree	
Agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Neutral	Agree	
Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	Agree	
Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	CAPC8: it's disappointing that one of the iconic views o
Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree	Agree	Protecting No more houses should be built without put

on the Non-Policy Actions (Community projects) contained in Section 10 of the Cold Ash Neighbourhood

ment of the rifle club, which does not have the parking and is already congested. The Acland Hall already provides

urpose the rifle shed as a community amenity such as a cafe -we have a village hall which is purpose built for such a purpose. Please amend! Section 9 - is misinformation and needs re-writing!! : This is a rural parish, which has green

d to be built (I understand to be currently zero) I believe there should be a goal to build a small number each year

uld like to see a local planning bylaw added whereby anyone who fells trees or otherwise clears land without the appropriate

covered by these actions, only a few of which are of direct interest to myself. None though would cause me any harm or

eeding of traffic in the village, including 'rat running' on Cold Ash Hill to M4/A34 and on Collaroy Road to St Finian's

more equipment for toddlers and primary school age. Equipment for teenagers needs to be considered.

re significantly what services are NOT currently available within the area. There is a brief mention in 8.1, but I think it is

ent! Site plans and existing and future developments would have been helpful. Traffic will increase, what provisions

building design sitting along side older buildings. I think it is more honest than attempting a recreation of a desirable

stay the way it is ! We don't need more houses or people destroying the wild life and it's natural beauty. Corporations

ied? Quite frankly, ridiculous that that has even made it to such a document, along with the dark skies item (6) v

if Ashmore Green and up to Cold Ash one way and into Thatcham and Newbury the other, comes from the foot

des good facilities and has the space and facilities and parking and is the logical place to develop any further fac

ich activity and has adequate parking, in addition we have the church meeting rooms - any developemt of the ri
own off the back of the rural economy and local farming and agricultural enterprises, and it is disappointing, if n

ar to fulfill the previously stated need for housing to bring in young families to the commmunity and, secondly,

ie Parish Council (PC)'s knowledge and agreement prior to applying for planning permission is compelled to repl

ink it is important in a planning document to highlight this more strongly, as it is an important consideration wh

vision for highway maintenance of new roads when they can't maintain existing, no mention about public transp

ign from the 50s, 60s, 70s, 80s just because that is what is next door. We should allow the world to move forwa

path next to Stone Copse across to Henwick Farm doesn't get mentioned here. This was submitted at the time of

file shed will impact parking on ashmore green road already under pressure with the increased football activities: not dangerous, to see such alarming misinformation readily published in the draft NDP. Section 9.2 reads: "In li

housing to allow those with large houses and gardens to downsize but stay within the community. 2. Given the

ace all trees 3 fold as a penalty and any subsequent planning application refused until the matter has been addr

en considering future development as to whether the area can support the extra population requirements. So I

ard and if different materials and philosophies dictate different building designs then they should not be asked t

s taking place. any proposal to increased community faciities should clearly demonstrate why the Acland hall is i
ne with experiences across the British countryside, employment opportunities in the agricultural sector have re

: huge national shortage of housing our own community should be prepared to make a contribution by scheduli

would also think it would be useful to include the distances/maps showing the nearest secondary schools, doct

:o replicate something older. Deliberately avoiding challenging someone's view of what is aesthetically pleasing

not meeting this need. Ideas to develop options for older children /teenagers beyond the activities already produced". As the parish representatives for this community, you simply must do better. Publishing broad missta

ng a limited number of houses per year. 3. The current Settlement Boundaries effectively prevent developmen

ors, dentists, shops, fuel station, train stations, etc. Are local schools full or is there capacity to support new res

to them, based on what they have today is not the right approach. A specific example would be if a passiv hous

vided should have been articulated in the project list -the older children have football clubs and cricket -any form
statements will not do, and clearly there needs to be an element of education here to bring greater awareness of

t. Given that 'ribbon development' along the major parish roads already exists (Red Shute Hill, Hermitage Road,

se (ultimate eco friendly approach) was proposed. There would be very specific design criteria driven by the nee

nal further development will impact the rural ambiance of the recreation ground and be less family and older pe
the true opportunity that exists within the agricultural sector and wider rural industries. “Employment oppor

The Ridge etc.) the Settlement Boundaries should be redrawn to include these roads to a depth of, say, 100m e

ould to maximise solar gain (large windows on south side), prevent heat loss (small windows on north side), and pr

tunities in the agricultural sector” have not “reduced” – the truth is in fact, the exact opposite. Registrations t
either side. Given the number of access points already existing it would be possible to build individual houses an

otentially other impacts to roof design based on air circulation and the need for optimal angle for solar panels.

These policies should not provide an excuse for someone to object to cha