Radionuclide Distribution and Transport in Terrestrial and Aquatic Ecosystems A Critical Review of Data

P.J.COUGHTREY & M.C. THORNE **Associated Nuclear Services, Epsom, UK**

VOLUME ONE

Prepared for the Directorate-General Employment, Social Affairs and Education, Health and Safety Directorate, Commission of the European Communities

A.A.BALKEMA/ROTTERDAM/1983

Models for soils and plants.

a simplified soil-plant model is represented in Fig. 2.1. Soil can be considered in terms of four components; an organic component, a mineral component, a parent material component and the soil solution. This separation is somewhat artificial, since, in practice, it is often difficult to separate organic, mineral and solution phases. Moreover, parent-material cannot be truly considered part of the soil system, since it is the source of derivation of soil. Thus, in environmental studies of radionuclides after atmospheric or aquatic releases, the transfer from parent material to mineral soil is irrelevant.

"ithin any component there are several sub-divisions. For example, it suild be possible to isolate a surface organic component, a mixed orgamic component, and both a dissolved and suspended organic component thin the soil organic fraction. In many studies soil has been considered simply as a series of layers of increasing depth. Such a represectation takes no account of the differences in physical and chemical accepties between the different horizons of any soil profile, or, in a tisturbed profile, between organic and mineral phases. Furthermore, clants are often considered in isolation from soil with insufficient regard for their root component. This latter component is often difficult to distinguish from soil components, but is of considerable importance in the uptake, binding and loss of trace substances by the plant.

Imput to the various soil components occurs via wet and dry deposition, and wia artificial application (e.g. irrigation). The degree of surface recosition depends upon a variety of factors other than the concentration : factivity in air. Retention within the surface material depends upon:

- the physical and chemical nature of the input;
- the degree of resuspension of the surface layer;
- the degree of biological decomposition occurring;
- the degree of leaching of the soil surface.

It can be assumed that the organic component is in close contact with the soil mineral lattice and receives an input from this component via Leaching and biological activity. Leaching may carry material from the sail through the parent material and away from the system via percolation Lis ground water.

In Fig. 2.1 the three main solid-phase components are shown exchanging the soil solution. This solution component is included as an intermediate between any of the solid components and the plant-root component. It is assumed that all materials for plant uptake pass through this component, as do materials released by root mortality and subsequent biothe model, since this included in the model, since this represents an important method of contaminant return to soil after the more reliable estimation of translocation and is relevant in any assessment of the impact of harvesting of root and/or ther crops for human consumption. Within the plant, radionuclides may be either translocated from root to shoot (as in most cereal crops) or In the sported from shoot to root for storage (as in most root vegetable and ther crops).

The above-ground parts of the plant can be considered as two main ramponents; the external component which receives an aerial input via accesition of particulate matter from the atmosphere and translocated materials from the rest of the plant; and an internal component which mediates in absorption, translocation and storage processes. Both compon-

in pasture plants by seasonal mortality and by abscisrts which results in a return to the soil. The roots, or the whole plant may be harvested for human and/or . After harvesting, the above-ground external component ,ses, be lost or removed to a large extent (by mechanical vcesses) prior to human consumption. A fruiting component is . cd in this general model (Fig. 2.1), mainly because of its sea- .dture, however in more detailed studies of individual elements component is considered where data are available.

2.1 Soils

2.1.1 Radionuclide input to soils

Inputs to soil occur from the processes of wet and dry deposition, irrigation, animal excretion, sewage sludge application, application of fertilizers and the decay of senescent, cut and dead plant or animal materials.

Dry deposition is the process by which particulates are transferred from the atmosphere to the soil surface and the total extent of deposition is estimated using the concept of deposition velocity (V_{α}) . In pre-

vious studies [e.g. 15] a value of $5x10^{-3}$ m s $^{-1}$ has been adopted for this parameter to represent particulate matter of several microns diameter . However, it has been noted that V_g is variable over several orders of

magnitude, depending upon particle size, the reactivity of the aerosol, the physical conditions of the soil surface, and the meteorological conditions at the time of deposition. The value of $5x10^{-3}$ m s⁻¹ was reported to have been adopted on the basis of the data of Slinn (70). Reference to the discussion of Slinn does not necessarily accord with the proposed value for V_g . Slinn reviewed available data for V_g and stated in

conclusion that "the values of V_w (wet deposition velocity) and $V_{q'}$

typically about $1x10^{-2}$ to $5x10^{-2}$ m s⁻¹, should be within a factor of 5 of the true annual-average values and may be within a factor of 2^u . During the derivation of working limits for the release of radionuclides to agricultural systems , Bryant (12) noted Chamberlain's calculations for ^V of 10^{-3} to $3x10^{-3}$ m s⁻¹ for submicron particles and 10^{-2} m sec⁻¹ for 9 15 μ m diameter particles. A review of the published data for V_g reveals

very few measured values for soil surfaces as opposed to plant surfaces. However, the data that are available suggest that the assumption that vegetation and soil surfaces are similar in their ability to collect airborne material is suspect. Little (47) has reported, in a summary of his experimental data, deposition velocities for exhaust lead particles (0.2 μ m diameter) to soil of 3.6x10⁻⁵ to 5.3x10⁻⁴ m s⁻¹, these were considerably lower than those reported for vegetative surfaces in comparable studies.

In the context of agricultural systems, the surface for collection of aerosols is unlikely to be either purely vegetative or purely soil. It is most likely to be a combination of both types. Little (op. cit.) referred to measurements of V_g for 2.75 and 5.0 μ m diameter polystyrene particles

depositing onto both grass and soil surfaces . In both cases the large majority of the total catch was associated with the grass and only 10 to 35% was depos. exhaust aeros deposition to that the major the top of the surface inhib: face .

 V_q is marke.

meter of the $5x10^{-3}$ m s⁻¹ diameter of se reference to value is in fa diameter. Dep: can be expecte general, the

that for vege! deposition vel

Like dry dep estimated by t (\wedge) . Generally volves the ass of a particula approach to si cussed by Slir an order of \equiv necessarily di noted that the are fraught wi occurring with drop evaporati considered in tions a value appropriate to metres per hou choice of valu similar studie $2.8x10^{-5}$ s⁻¹ f

At the time input of a com appear to be *r* of the extensi siderations to
Inputs to so

application of are generally considered in should be rela case of radios these pathways of a deposite relevant to m sheep up to 2 tent, this wil

35% was deposited directly to the soil under the grass. In the case of exhaust aerosols, the presence of grass 10 cm in height increased total deposition to the same area by a factor of 3 to 4 times. Little proposed that the majority of the catch was where turbulence was greatest around the top of the sward, the rapid decline in wind speed towards the soil surface inhibited mixing and reduced deposition rates close to the surface.

 ${\mathtt V}_{\mathtt G}$ is markedly affected by wind speed and the median aerodynamic diameter of the particles concerned. Although the commonly quoted value of $5x10^{-3}$ m s⁻¹ is suggested to be typical of particles of an aerodynamic diameter of several microns depositing onto a **wide** variety of surfaces, reference to the data of Slinn [70] and Little [47] suggests that this value is in fact representative only of particles less than about 3 µm diameter. Deposition velocities for larger particulates on all surfaces can be expected to be considerably greater than this value, but, in general, the v_q for soil can be expected to be considerably less than

that for vegetation under similar conditions. Further data concerning deposition velocities are discussed in Section 2.2.1.

~-

Like dry deposition, the extent of wet deposition has usually been estimated by the use of a simple coefficient, the washout coefficient (\wedge) . Generally speaking, the procedure for estimating wet deposition involves the assumption that rainfall is continuous throughout the transit of a particular fraction of a hypothetical plume. The wet deposition approach to simulation modelling of radionuclide transfer has been discussed by Slinn [70] who noted that washout rates could vary by more than an order of magnitude during a single rainstorm and that washout was not necessarily directly proportional to rainfall rate. Ritchie et al. [62] noted that the theoretical calculation and experimental measurement of \wedge are fraught with difficulty, mostly because the extent of dry deposition occurring with wet deposition is not known; other complications included drop evaporation, turbulence and electrical effects. Rainfall is only : onsidered in specific Pasquill and Doury categories and in these condi tions a value of $\Lambda = 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹ was suggested by the CEA/NRPB [15] to be appropriate to small particulates and a rainfall rate of a few millimetres per hour (typical of Western Europe). It should be noted that the choice of values for \wedge vary between authors, such that Bayer [4] in s:milar studies to those of the CEA/NRPB [15] made use of a value of $2.8x10^{-5}$ s⁻¹ for the Rhine-Meuse region.

At the time of writing, data concerning parameters used to estimate the input of a contaminant into soil via wet and dry deposition processes appear to be rather limited and extremely variable. Furthermore, very few of the extensive studies of deposition processes have limited their considerations to soil surfaces as opposed to vegetative surfaces.

Inputs to soil via irrigation, animal excretions, sewage sludges, application of fertilizers and from decaying or dead organic materials are generally not considered in assessment studies. These inputs can be considered in most cases to be of a secondary nature and their estimation should be relatively easy given site-specific parameters. However, in the :ase of radionuclides with radioactive half-lives in excess of 100 days , these pathways could become significant in the longer-term distribution :: a deposited radionuclide in the soil ecosystem. In this context, it is relevant to note that cows can ingest up to 450 kg of soil per year and sheep up to 23 kg per year with their normal diet [36]. To a large extent, this will be surface soil and the fraction of a radionuclide that

is not absorbed by the animal will be returned to the pasture. In the case of non-permanent agricultural pastures or crops, the portion of the biomass that is not harvested will often be returned to the soil system at the end of the season, and this is especially true of the root component. In permanent pastures, a considerable proportion of the radionuclide will be returned to soil, either at the end of the growing season as a result of die-back, or during the season due to either die-back or abscission/dehiscion of plant parts. The extent of this input will be related to the form of the pasture and its species composition (as well as to meteorological conditions throughout the growing season). In this context, it should be noted that there is a considerable body of relevant information concerning the processes of straw decay in field conditions [e.g. 33].

Decomposition is an important process in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems and an introduction to the subject is given by Mason [49]. Detritus particles which are derived from decomposition of vascular plants have a high sorptive capacity for a wide range of pollutants and the importance of studying detritus as a standard component in pollutant release assessments has been stressed [59]. Turnover times for calcium in litters of various forests range from 0.2 to 35 years and Jordan and Kline [42] stated that these cycling times were influenced primarily by the rates of element uptake and release by plants. There are few data for the rate of release of radionuclides from litters [e.g. 80], but experimental studies show that a high proportion of added-radionuclides can be sorbed rapidly by litter [e.g. 41].

The application of sludges and fertilizers to agricultural land is a widespread agronomic practice but, although the importance of these practices has been noted in trace-element and heavy-metal cycling [e.g. 44], their possible influence on cycling of long-lived radionuclides does not appear to have been studied.

2.1.2 Mobility in soils

It has been stated [15] that "one of the greatest areas of uncertainty in assessing the long-term transfer of activity to foodstuffs is the predictions of the migration of radionuclides down through soil and of any physical or biochemical processes that modify their availability for uptake into plants with time". In this context it is useful to refer to the discussion of Loneragan [48) who stated that the concentrations of trace elements in soil solution are generally so low that the total quantity present at any one time would sustain relatively little plant growth. To provide a sufficient supply for growth the trace elements require rapid replenishment from the solid phase. Fuller [28) recorded the following factors as having a significant effect on the mobility of hazardous metals in soils:

- Soil texture or particle size distribution.
- Pore space distribution.
- Content and distribution of iron, aluminium and manganese hydroxy oxides in soil and coating particles.
- pH of soil and buffering capacity.
- Redox potential in soil in micro- as well as macro-pores.
- Soil organic matter and amount and concentration of organic constituents in wastes.

Concentratio

a general descri marrestrial geoche relevant discussio was provided by Hal Tinker [58]. The b Scott-Russell [66] moted five processe ting the transport

- sorption with - hydrodynamic
- molecular dif
- ground-water
- radioactive d

Bunzl [13] discus transport and accum Encluded transport b particles and the mi riannels. Baes [im:I muclides due to lead

$$
\lambda_{s1} = \frac{\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}}{d_{\mathbf{w}}[1+(\underline{\rho})]}
$$

where

 V_w = velocity d_c = depth of s $p = solid bulk$ θ = soil water $k_d =$ equilibrius
between so

When $k_d = 0$ migrati

migration will occur.

on observed data incl
site-specific measure For the purpose of $|$ $\sqrt{15}$ categorised turbed' type was used "well-mixed' type was ploughing or cultivat compartments represen The contaminant was a pension and soil graz ment, representing so plants was considered in the fourth compart available for uptake the calculations of 5 migration of plutonia

Concentration of hazardous ion.

 \ge general description of both the composition of soils and of the : restrial geochemistry of the elements was given by Bowen [9], while a a~t discussion of the modelling of heavy metal behaviour in soils ~~ovided by Harmsen [34) and the movement of ions in soils by Nye and $\left[-2.5 \epsilon \epsilon \right]$. The behaviour of radionuclides in soils was discussed by **Exti-Russell [66] and more recently by Schwarzer [64, 65]. Schwarzer** ~= :ive processes which he considered to be most important in affec- ϵ the transport of radionuclides in soils. These were:

- sorption within the soil;
- hydrodynamic suspension; a season of the suspension;
- molecular diffusion; an hereotimes with the series of
- ground-water flow proper (convection);
- radioactive decay. The contract of the contrac

.=.:::. (13) discussed and described models for several aspects of the ~~c rt and accumulation of radionuclides in the soil. These aspects ... ied transport by diffusion and convection, sorption by solid-phase ~=: es and the migration of radionuclides through soil containing : acrels. Baes [in:39] discussed a coefficient for soil-loss of radio-**Example 3** due to leaching (λ_{s1}) which was defined as:

$$
\lambda_{s1} = \frac{v_w}{d_s[1 + (\frac{\rho k}{\theta}d)]}
$$

[~]

že m ia-

ison. iť. \mathbb{R} .s ant s

c

ă. st | in

7
for $\frac{1}{2}$ be

 $BC-$ 3È

> 23 c

 ∇_{u} = velocity of vertical water percolation

 $d_s =$ depth of soil root zone (cm)

soil bulk density $(g \text{ cm}^{-3})$

soil water content (ml cm^{-3})

 k_a = equilibrium distribution coefficient of radionuclide species between soil and water $(ml q^{-1})$.

 $\epsilon_{\rm d}$ = 0 migration will occur with the soil water and as $k_{\rm d}$ $\rightarrow \infty$ no

-~~on will occur . However, because the estimated range of Asl based E concerved data included 3 to 5 orders of magnitude, Baes proposed that **EX-specific measurements of relevant parameters were required.**

For the purpose of modelling radionuclide migration in soil the CEA/ 15] categorised agricultural land into two types. The first 'undis-**Incread type was used to simulate permanent pastures and the second** t_{max} type was used to simulate land that was subject to frequent **It is set of the undisturbed soil model consisted of four In all the representing successive layers of soil of increasing depths.** \blacksquare = contaminant was assumed to be well-mixed in each compartment. Resus-~~~ a;1d soil grazing were considered to occur from the first compart in terms representing soil of 0 to 1 cm depth. The root zone of pasture as was considered to extend to 15 cm depth and only material present \equiv the fourth compartment (15 to 30 cm depth) was considered to be un-**Equal to assume that the coefficients were based on** \equiv ralculations of Simmonds et al. [69] which were from data for the **Example 1** of plutonium in soil. The coefficients were considered to be

applicable to all other elements. Simmonds et al. referred to a transfer coefficient for migration of radionuclides from the root zone of pasture soil as 50 years but also stated that "the speculative nature of this estimation must however be recognised". Frissel and Jakubick (27] recently reviewed data for the transport of certain radionuclides in soil and observed a residence time for $Cs-137$ in soil of 2.5 y cm⁻¹ with similar values for plutonium. These authors noted the wide range in observed and predicted residence times.

The CEA/ NRPB well-mixed soil model consisted of one compartment representing soil of 0 to 30 cm depth in which a nuclide was assumed to be uniformly mixed and equally 'available'. Loss from the compartment was assumed to occur via downward processes in which diffusion and transport with general water movement were considered most important. The rate of loss was thus determined by the use of a single transfer coefficient.

Two recent studies are relevant to this well-mixed model. Crites et al. [21] provided data for the effects of ploughing on Am-241 distribution in an Enewetak soil. The fraction of Am-241 remaining in the surface soil after ploughing ranged from <5 to 25% of the initial amount with an average of 14%. Some surface activity was ploughed below the surface without mixing and represented from 25 to SO% of the activity of the surface layers. Horton et al. (40] provided data for the effect of cultivation on plutonium distribution in soil. In the twenty years before cultivation, the downward movement had been very slow. In discussion these authors stated that "tillage did produce slight increases in plutonium concentrations in the 5 to 15 cm depth of soil in both fields indicating some mixing of the 0 to 5 cm and 5 to 15 cm depths, but the amount of mixing was surprisingly small." Moreover in their conclusions they stated that "standard agricultural practices used in the S.E. United States will not greatly modify the distribution of plutonium in the soil and, therefore, will have relatively minor effects upon uptake by crop species."

The concept of a well-mixed soil model should, therefore , be considered with care. The effect of normal agricultural practices on elemental distribution in soil is not well documented and the assumption of an activity averaged throughout soil depths of 0 to 30 cm may underestimate the quantity available for uptake by plants. This factor is highly dependent on the rooting depth of the plant species concerned as well as the soil type and condition.

2.1.3 Loss from soils

Loss of activity from soil can occur via three main routes; resuspension can take place at the surface layer, plant uptake can occur at the surface or in intermediate layers, loss to groundwater via leaching can take place from the bottom layer. A further loss could also be expected to occur via lateral movement in soil but this component of loss is not well documented. Loss via leaching has been discussed in the context of the mobility of radionuclides in soil (Section 2.1.2).

Resuspension is a process whereby deposited activity may be removed from the surface layers of a soil, either in its original form or in association with soil particles. In some methodologies this process has been modelled on the basis of saltation rates (e.g. 13] . More commonly, a time-dependent resuspension factor has been used, but the initial value of this factor is recognised as being uncertain. In one study (15], the

resuspension function

 $K_t = 10^{-5}$ exp (

where

 $t = time after$ λ_1 = decay const

 λ_2 = decay const

 λ = radioactive

Talues of λ_1 of 1.46 representing half live considered insufficien from undisturbed compa standard to be equal. also assumed that the Tity deposited under ei sed was noted to over Escussed the concept Parameter. He noted the Labelated resuspension af the definition of re The resuspension of tra et is after their depos Extraally be applied. On regular disturbance by the resuspension factor expension ractor Fass and soil were prov Etermined had initial w **Exciprocal of time and internal community** Exterestingly, a large f egain within 3 metres of
EPAT limit of 7x10⁻¹¹ that the fraction of department could not much exceed 109 ted data for resuspension est from gravel and least Tes spension rates were a

² lx10⁻⁸ s¹; gravel - 5 Terlations of resuspensic Warlations of resuspension
Warlations than the resul **Externals than the results:**
Testials. Healey [35] ha Tesuspension models that exercaches should be used [a]] concluded that there whe than data to validat Lass from soil wis plan

Easters. In some methodol the plant:soil transfe the premissor transfer
thity of the agriculture that are involved in Theragan [48] and Nishit teen reviewed and discuss preminity, extent and pat tant in the absorption of

 \equiv = = = pension function, K_t , used was: $K_f = 10^{-5}$ exp $(-[\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda]t) + 10^{-9}$ exp $(-[\lambda_2 + \lambda]t)$ $m⁻¹$ **Where** $t = time$ after initial deposition (s)

 λ_1 = decay constant for initial decline (s⁻¹)

 \bullet -

į. irt. E

al.
 $\frac{1}{10}$

lti-

ŀ.

SI ted il.

er re

 \mathbb{R} ă. ar ba

~-

te

en-

on. ake

 $e11$

s

e e

 $, a$

 λ_2 = decay constant for longer-term decline (s⁻¹)

= radioactive decay constant of nuclide of interest.

Talues of λ_1 of 1.46x10⁻⁷ s⁻¹ and λ_2 of 2.2x10⁻¹⁰ s⁻¹ were chosen, ~~=~ting half lives of 0 .15 and 100 years respectively. The data were ~~=red insufficient to warrant a distinction between resuspension ..::.=.:.sturbed compared to ploughed land and the resuspension model was ,_:ered to be equally applicable to both rural and urban areas. It was **ELE: ESSUMEd that the same resuspension factor could be applied to acti-**~=~osited under either dry or wet conditions, although the function \leq \leq \leq s noted to overestimate the significance of the latter. Slinn [70] **Excessed** the concept of the resuspension factor and values for the \blacksquare are ter. He noted the large variability (11 orders of magnitude) in **Example 2** resuspension factors and Travis [72] has noted the shortcomings and the definition of resuspension factors. Linsley [45] reviewed data for resuspension of transuranic elements and proposed that, for a few after their deposition, a resuspension factor of 10^{-6} m⁻¹ could :~_ ~e applied. Only in conditions of moderate activity (defined as $:$ disturbance by vehicles or pedestrians) was an initial value of esispension factor of 10^{-5} m⁻¹ considered to be appropriate. Recent **Example 12** increases the resuspension of particulate matter from both *==-=* soil were provided by Garland (29]. The resuspension factors *·7_:_ec* had initial values of 2xl0- 7 to 10- 5 m- 1 and declined as the **Exercise** of time and increased as the square or cube of wind speed. **Exercise :** stingly, a large fraction of resuspended material was deposited within 3 metres of the point of resuspension. Garland deduced an \lim it of 7x10⁻¹¹ m⁻¹ for a fifteen year old deposit and concluded and the fraction of deposited fallout resuspended over thirteen years::::::: much exceed 10% of the deposit. Reynolds and Slinn [60] provita for resuspension rates from various surfaces, these were greatom gravel and least from soil or mown grass. The following average Mension rates were reported: mown grass - l.3x 10⁻⁸ s⁻¹; soil -
^{- s} s⁻¹; gravel - 5.6x10⁻⁸ s⁻¹. These authors also suggested that **Configurer** at resuspension with time were more the result of seasonal **than than the result of depletion by fixation to non-resuspendable** whereals. Healey [35] has recently provided a review of the various ~~~~on mode ls that are available and recommended that mass-loading where should be used in generic studies; in this respect, Sehmel \equiv concluded that there are more theoretical resuspension models avail- τ ==- data to validate or to use in those models.

Liss from soil via plant uptake will be determined by a wide range of **In some methodologies an estimate can be obtained by application** _::..::::soil transfer coefficient (see Section 3 . 2) and the produc- **Example 2** of the agricultural system studied. This is not often done. Fac-**Executed** are involved in soil-plant interactions have been discussed by --,---;=,::. _~8] and Nishita et al. [57]; while more recent studies have ,--=.: ⁰ *·ed* and discussed by Nye and Tinker [58] and Tinker [71]. The **Extern** and pattern of contact between soil and root are impor**is a the absorption of ions from soils, particularly for those ions**

which are tightly bonded to soil colloids. The pattern of distribution of roots is influenced by both chemical and physical properties of soils. The uptake of a contaminant will be affected by the biological activity of the soil, while the interaction of plants and soils can change the composition of the soil solution or the root surface. The plant root can also modify the soil environment in its immediate vicinity both by excretion of chemically active substances and by absorption of water and ions. Plant exudates can influence the solubility (and hence the transport) of elements in soil solution as well as stimulating or reducing microbial activity. Further discussion of the interaction of plants with soils and their effect on the loss of nuclides from soils is given in the following sections of the report. However, the comments of Scott-Russell [66) that "no general principles can be laid down as to the manner in which measurements of absorption from the soil are most appropriately expressed. The choice of procedure should depend on the conditions of observation and on the purpose for which the results are to be used", are very relevant.

2.2 Plants Plants and Plants and Plants and Plants and Plants and Plants and

2.2.1 Input to plants
2.2.1 Input to plants
2.2.1 Input to plants

Plants can absorb radionuclides either in their above-ground parts by foliar absorption or in their below- ground parts by root absorption. In the preparation of models for plants it is advisable to consider the above-ground parts of the plants as separate external and internal components. This allows account to be taken of the surface contamination of vegetation by deposited particles. Nuclides can be deposited on the external plant component directly from the atmosphere by wet or dry deposition, via resuspension from soil and via contamination from either aspersion or irrigation waters.

(a) Dry deposition to external plant parts

Dry deposition to plants, as to soils, can be estimated by the concept of deposition velocity (V_{q}^{f}) discussed in Section 2.1.1). The derivation of,

and background to, deposition velocity is pertinent in understanding the processes involved in this route of accumulation of radionuclides by plants. Knowledge of this subject is, to a large extent, the result of study by Chamberlain and co-workers and relevant data were summarised by Little [47]. Little remarked that the following three methods could account for particle capture by natural surfaces:

- Gravitational sedimentation. The second set of the set of
- Inertial impaction.

 \overline{a}

Eddy diffusion deposition. We are assuming the part of complexi

The extent of particle capture will be determined by the physical characteristics of the particles, their concentration in air and the ambient meteorological conditions. Little also summarised considerable experimental data for deposition velocities of various particulates to vegetative surfaces. For exhaust lead particles labelled with Pb-203 these

ranged from an up cles deposited or 1.2 µm diameter p spheres, ∇ range

meter particles d to a lower value ting on beech lea cities to vegetat
1 minimal deposit ted to occur with

m s¹. However, t

physical characte is difficult to i

 ncdelling of cept of the 'inte
[15] used a value

Exact from the no
 $n s⁻¹$ for all rad
walue of $1x10⁻²$ m [37] of the depos that the depositi
time was 2x10² m wenty times less $2x10^{-3}$ m s⁻¹) whi is similar to tha of iodine. Moore ties for vegetativ

 $2x10^{-2}$ m s
 $1x10^{-3}$ m s
 $1x10^{-4}$ m s

(b) The intercep

The interception $wet + dry) that$ fiscussed extensiv **Lemic or particular**
that interception and the form of ma reported to decrea > wet-deposited pa rain > particles dry-deposited on a Witherspoon and Ta cles by sorghum an Their data and oth **Killer** [51, 52] in Chamberlain had pr tation such that:

 $\frac{1}{2}$ from an upper value of 2.35x10⁻² m s⁻¹ for 0.02 µm diameter parti- \approx 20051ted on beech petioles to a lower value of 8x10⁻⁵ m s⁻¹ for Enter particles depositing on beech laminas. For polystyrene $\frac{1}{2}$ anged from an upper value of 2.2x10⁻¹ m s⁻¹ for 8.5 µm dia-

EXECUTE: Particles depositing on nettle stems at a wind speed of 2.5 m s⁻¹ LINET value of 2.7x10⁻⁴ m s⁻¹ for 2.8 µm diameter particles deposi- \equiv beech leaves also at a wind speed of 2.5 m s¹. Deposition velo-En vegetation are closely and complexly related to particle size.
El deposition to grass at a wind speed of 2.5 m s¹ can be expec- ϵ = secur with 0.3 µm diameter particles having a V_q of about 2.5x10⁻⁴

 \blacksquare = \blacksquare = \blacksquare = \blacksquare will also depend to a large extent on the

characteristics of the plant crop being studied. As a result it **Example 12** in the discussion or \mathbf{v}_g in either the discussion or

of deposition onto plants hence, in the latter case, the con-Example the 'interception factor' has been used (see below). The CEA/NRPB
sed a value for V_g of $5x10^{-3}$ m s¹ for all radionuclides studied

Eram the noble gases and iodine. Bayer [4] used a value of $3x10^{-3}$ Est all radioisotopes other than iodine and the noble gases, and a
 $\approx 1 \times 10^{-2}$ m s¹ for iodine isotopes. Heinemann and Vogt's studies the deposition of iodine agree with the value chosen by Bayer, in the deposition velocity to grass averaged over the whole pasture **EXECUTE:** The measured value for aerosols was a factor of **EXECUTE:** LEWIS Less than that of elemental iodine (i.e. 10 3 to \mathbb{R} is $\frac{1}{2}$ while laboratory measurements on methyl iodide produced a **CHARGE** Each was only about 0.5% of that of elemental iodine; this value
Each was only about 0.5% of that of elemental iodine; this value Moore et al. [54] recommended the following deposition veloci-**Example 20 The September 20 September 2**

EXAMPLE 2 m s¹ for reactive gases;
 EXAMPLE 3 m s¹ for small particles (<4 µm diameter);
 EXAMPLE 3 m s¹ for relatively unreactive gases.

Example 20 Interception factor

É

š

i.g

Example 12 August 2010 Constant Constant Construction factor (r) is the proportion of the total deposition ϵ = ϵ that is retained by the vegetation under study. Eriksson [25] section of the interception of seems ar particulate radionuclides by pasture grasses and demonstrated **Example 2** Exterior and retention were affected by humidity, precipitation the form of material applied. The relative amount intercepted was \blacksquare . To decrease in the following order: wet-deposited radionuclides **Example 22** is ited particles > particles dry-deposited on grass wet with **Example:** Particles dry-deposited on grass superficially wet > particles consited on dry grass, and small particles > larger particles. state and Taylor [77] reported data for the interception of partistripum and soyabeans and showed a similar overall interception. that and other experimental data were included in the papers of [52] in which the model proposed by Chamberlain was validated. and provided a model to relate r to the biomass of the vege- \equiv such that: