

**David Jones**

Re: Rugby Club and Sandleford

To: [REDACTED]

Hi Peter

[REDACTED]

The footpath is a complete NO and has never been agreed by the club . It would be a disaster from a security point of view , dogs being exercised on the pitches , restriction of car parking and use of that area if we chose to let parking spaces , health and safety complications for us , public access into private land . Never work and we will fight it vehemently. Total Support

[REDACTED]

Best  
David

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

[REDACTED]

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 5, 2021 9:33:37 PM

**To:** David Jones <[REDACTED]>

**Subject:** Re: Rugby Club and Sandleford

David

Hope you are well, now fully engaged on the Sandleford appeal.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I just want to ensure that when I raise the issue of footpath access to the rugby club that I am right to oppose it on behalf of the rugby club [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Many thanks

Peter

Peter M Norman  
Spokesperson  
snts

[REDACTED]

On 1 Mar 2021, at 20:10, David Jones [REDACTED] > wrote:

Hi Peter

No official or unofficial approach for a very long time to my knowledge although we are aware Sport England want us to get part of triangle back so we can have pitch 2 back: In Bloors hands.

Footpath is a worry but very aware. Totally unacceptable to us and would be a disaster .  
Open dog and undesirables invitation:

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On 1 Mar 2021, at 17:06, Peter Norman > wrote:

David

Hope you and the family are keeping well. I am touching base with you, this time with my Sandleford hat on. Are you aware as to whether there has been any communication between the Rugby Club and either Bloor Homes or the Sandleford Partnership regarding giving pedestrian access to the club from the proposed development? This has been repeatedly on the developers plans but I think would cause real issues for the club in terms of:

- a) People using the club car park to access the country park
- b) Dog walkers accessing the Club from the development.

Last time I had a look at this I know there had been no discussions in relation to this and I suspect this is still the case.

Also has there been any further discussions about potential compensation for the loss of the pitch that they kindly cordoned off the corner of?

I don't need to have any details but just to know whether discussions have been taking place.

That's it, all the best

Peter

Peter M Norman  
Newbury  
UK